INDEX

of

## EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Fros.		r In
2981		3739	Telegram from Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA to Consul-General HAYASHI at Mukden dated 5 September 1931	37315
1767A-1		3740	Telegram from Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA to Consul-General HAYASHI dated 19 September 1931	37317
			Rejected	37319
1767A-1	4	2406	Telegram from Consul- General HAYASHI in Mukde to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA dated 13 October 1931	en 37322
1767 <b>-</b> B	,	2407	Telegram from Consul- General HAYASHI in Mukden to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA dated 17 October 1931	37324
			MORNING RECESS	37328
17674-2	22	3740	Telegram from Consul- General HAYASHI in Mukden to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA date 13 October 1931	a 37339
			NOON RECESS	37343

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description I	For In	0
No.	No.	No.	Descrip oron		
2570-B		3457	Matters Decided Upon at the Five Ministers' Conference as of 31 October 1938	37350	San Change of the State of the
2178		3741	Volume entitled "New Chinese Central Govern- ment" 3	7372	
2178(A)		3741-A	Excerpt therefrom - The Essential Points of Administration in the Hankow District (Decide by the War, Navy and Foreign Ministers on 28 October 1938)	ad 37372	The second secon
2178(B)		3741-8	The Essential Points of Administration with the Development of Military Operation in South Chir (Decided by the War, Navy and Foreign Minist on 28 October 1938 (par graph 4 only)	e 7 na ters	,
		· > ·	AFTERNOON RECESS	37378	
1519 <b>-</b> K		3742	A Policy for the Establishment of a New Central Government	h- 37386	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.

INDEX

of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

No.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.E	In vidence
2178(G)		3743	Document on "The Expens Required for the "WU Project" - Pecided i the Conference of th China Affairs Board 23 June 1939	n e	37393
1005(1)		3744	Document Regarding the Necessary Expense of the Anti-Comintern National Salvation Leagua		37396
1685-⊃		3745	Outline To Guide the Central Political Conference dated 1 November 1939 - Deci by the Conference of China Affairs Board		37398

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

(none)

Wednesday, 14 January 1948

1

3 4

5

8

9

10 11

12

13

16 17

15

19 20

21

22

18

23 24 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,

Appearances:

at 0930.

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABIE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India, not sitting from 1500 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

M 0 r 8 K 2 p

8

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except SHIRATORI who is represented by counsel. The Sugamo prison surgeon certifies that he is ill and unable to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

Colonel Warren.

MR. VARREN: I was arguing yesterday with reference to document No. 2981

Now, if the Tribunal please, I called to the 12 Tribunal's attention yesterday the fact that this tele-13 gram could be nothing but rumor based upon rumor and that the content was contrary to the prosecution evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, in an ordinary court we would tell you that only goes to weight, but here you are entitled to argue probative value. But we have all those things in mind, Colonel.

MR. WARREN: I have in mind this proposition, your Honor, that we are not permitted under our rule of procedure to impeach our own witness or contradict our own evidence, and this they have done; that is what they are attempting to do. In any event, I shall soon have finished with my argument on this point.

I wish to point out that the telegram states in substance that with a view to the tardy progress of the negotiations regarding the NAKAMURA Incident that the Foreign Office feared that something might take place. Now this was addressed to Consul-General HAYASHI, and on page 65 of exhibit 57, which is the Lytton Report, we find these statements. This is proseeution evidence and I take it they are bound by it. I am quoting: "The second Chinese commission of investiration, after visiting the scene of the killing of Captain NAKAMURA, returned to Mukden on the morning of September 16th." Then skipping some descriptive words, and so forth, in there then we continue: "Consul-General HAYASHI, Mukden, was reported on September 12th-13th to have reported to the Japanese Foreign Office that 'an amicable settlement would probably be made after the return of the investigators to Mukden."

I should like to point out again that

DOHIHARA was on his way at that time or shortly after

that time from Japan to return to Mukden.

Then continuing: "The Mukden correspondent of the Nippon Dempo Service telegraphed a despatch on September 12th stating that 'an amicable settlement of the alleged murder of Captain Shintaro NAKAMURA of the Japanese General Staff Office by soldiers of

10 11

1

3

6

7

3

9

12 13

14

17

16

19

18

20

22

the Chinese Reclamation Army Corps is in sight."

And quoting further from the same paragraph:
"It would seem that diplomatic negotiations for attaining a solution of the NAKAMURA case were actually progressing favourably up to the night of September 18th."

THE PRESIDENT: Well, that is something you might put to us in your summation. It hardly helps on this question of whether we will admit the document or not.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor --

THE PRESIDENT: It is all test of whether the document has probative value and there are no rules of evidence that bind us. Nevertheless, I think you are developing your argument too far.

MR. WARREN: I am finished with my argument.

I have finished my argument except I want to make this observation: apparently the Tribunal is not following the basis of my argument. The prosecution is not attempting to rebut defense evidence, the prosecution is rebutting prosecution evidence and that isn't rebuttal in my opinion and the document ought to go out on that alone.

(Whereupon, Judge Hsiang approached the lectern.)

THE PRESIDENT: We don't want to hear you,

3 4

Judge Hsiang.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

By a majority the objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms. CLIRK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 2981 will receive exhibit No. 3739.

(Whereupon, the document bove referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3739 and received in evidence.)

JUDGE HSIANG: I will now reed exhibit 3739:

"From: Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA.

"To: Consul-General HAYASHI at Mukden.

"Head Office

"Despatched 5 September 1931, p.m.

"Telegram No. 182 (Code)

"Re Control of the activities of adventurers in connection with the NAKAMURA Incident.

We have heard that Colonel ITAGAKI and others of the Kwantung Army at your place are exerting themselves in various activities recently with considerably emple funds and are manipulating Japanese adventurers in China and members of the 'Kokusui-Kai'; and that in view of the tardy progress of the negotiation regarding the NAKAMURA Incident, especially, they have decided on some concrete move around the middle of this month. We Hope that it is merely a rumour. However, in

23 24

consideration of the appearances of excitement on the part of the despatched military authorities it would be very regrettable from the point of the general situation, if they ruin the position of the Empire by behaving rashly, therefore, please take further deliberate steps for controlling the activities of the RONIN /T.N. adventurers/ and the like.

"We have conveyed the gist of this telegram to the army also."

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, at this point the word "Ronin" which the translator's note in there calls "adventurers" -- that has been called to my attention that ronin means more or less an adventurer without a boss or under an organized head, and I would like to have that referred to the translation section for a complete examination of the word "Ronin." It may make a great difference.

THE PRESIDINT: We will refer it to the Language Section.

JUDGE HSIANG: The prosecution will next introduce IPS document 1767 A-1, being a telegram from Consul General HAYASHI at Mukden to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA reporting the Japanese Army's preparations at Fushun prior to the Mukden Incident. ITAGAKI stated on page 30,349 of the record that he had heard

about it only after the outbreak of the Incident. This document, however, will show that the activities in Fushun were part of the long operational plan. Therefore, this document would rebut the testimony of ITAGAKI that he learned about it only afterwards.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

1767 A-1 will receive exhibit No. 3740

MR. MATTICE: I desired to object to the introduction of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I paused before I admitted it, Mr. Mattice. Nobody moved to the lectern. However, we will hear you.

MR. MATTICL: Well, the red light was still burning.

'THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

June 1

18

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 20

21

22

24

2.5

MR. MATTICE: The objection, of course, would be that this is not rebuttal. I will not repeat the grounds which have been lengthily stated in respect to that, but call the Tribunal's attention to the fact that this is evidence of the character that the prosecution put in their case in chief, and this should have been put in then.

So, I say it is not rebuttal evidence and it is not justifiable as a matter of impeachment because no foundation was laid. This document was not put to the accused ITAGAKI while he was being cross-examined.

JUDGE HSIANG: On the 8th of October 1947, when ITAGAKI was cross-examined, the facts were based on this document in putting him questions. ITAGAKI then denied the facts and foundation for introducing this document was then laid.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you say the document was expressly put to ITAGAKI, or merely the contents of the document without revealing the document itself?

JUDGE HSIANG: On page 30,348 the question was put to him in this way:

"Do you remember that as early as September 14 an emergency defense meeting was called at Fushun in anticipation of something to happen?

"Answer: I should like to call your attention

1 2

3

8 9

10 11

13 14

12

16

15

19

18

21 22

24

to what has already been explained in this Court in connection with the Fushun question. Are you asking me about this?"

THE PRESIDENT: Why didn't you put the document itself to him, if you had it? You appear to have had it; at least GHQ had it as early as April last year.

JUDGE HSIANG: We introduce this document now as a result of ITAGAKI's denial during cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, but ITAGAKI gave evidence and you had this document. You were relying on it, yet you didn't put it to him. Now you bring it up in rebuttal. Frankly, we are out to limit the amount of rebuttal evidence as much as we reasonably can, as far as the interests of justice permit.

JUDGE HEIANG: During the time of the crossexamination, questions were based upon the contents of this document. Since ITAGAKI denied it, it was not shown to him. We think that this is one of the vital points in the case and we believe that it will be greatly helpful to the Tribunal in finding out the true situation about the Mukden Incident.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

(Whereupon, the document previously numbered 3740 was rejected.)

17

20

23

JUDGE HSIANG: The prosecution will now offer IPS document No. 1767A-14, previously marked for identification as exhibit No. 2406 on page 19,384. This is a telegram from Consul General HAYASHI at Mukden to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA reporting the extent of Japanese aid given to General Chang Hai-peng in exchange for the latter's declaration of independence. Defense witness TAKEDA denied on page 19,363 and page 19,373 of the transcript that Chang was given aid by Japanese. This was also denied by ITAGAKI on page 30,369.

"The present document is now tendered to contradict the foregoing testimony, and foundation for introducing this document was laid on page 19,365 when it was stated, "It will in due course be tendered."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: This document is objected to on behalf of the accused ITAGAKI for the same reasons and upon the same grounds. The subject matter is evidence which the prosecution should have put in, if they cared to do so, when they were putting in their case, and it is not a matter of impeachment for the reason stated to the previous document, because no proper foundation was laid.

It seems to me, if the Tribunal please, that lawyers, whether they are with the prosecution or with

the defense in a case, ought to know and are supposed to know how to lay the foundation for impeachment. It is well known in the practice in the Anglo-Saxon courts, at least, and here they seem, all the way along, to have failed to lay the foundation in most of these instances.

THE PRESIDENT: We understand you to say that this particular document itself, and not merely its contents, was put to a defense witness.

Duda & Spratt

JUDGE HSIANG: Your Honor, on page 19,365, in the cross-examination of the witness TAKEDA, Mr. Comyns Carr made the following statement:

"Your Honor, I understand that the document has not yet been made an exhibit but in view
of this evidence it will, in due course, be tendered
and I am giving the witness the opportunity of dealing with it."

On that occasion the document was shown to the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled, and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 1767A-14, now exhibit 2406 for identification only, will be marked as received in evidence and will retain the same exhibit number.

(Whereupon, prosecution exhibit

No. 2406, previously marked for identification, was received in evidence.)

JUDGE HSIANG: I will now read this exhibit.

"From Consul-General HAYASHI in Mukden to
Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA.

"Dispatched: Mukden, p. m. October 13, 1931.

"Received: a. m. October 14, 1931.
"Telegram No. 963 (Code),"

I will omit the first two sections.

"3. According to KIKUTAKE, Chief of the South Manchurian Railway's local office, he says that our army supplied Chang Waipeng of Taonon with 5,000 rifles in order to give him independence; that Chang, however, asked for further assistance to the extent of two hundred thousand yon as a war fund; that it is rumored that the South Manchurian Railway, in connection with the recent incident, will advance about three million yen of secret military fund, but that when this is realized, our army will probably lay out its plan with the above fund."

I omit the remainder of the document.

We propose to introduce IPS document 1767A-15, previously under IPS document 1767B, marked for identification as exhibit No. 2407, transcript page 19,384, which is another telegram between the same parties, bearing information of similar purport, that is, Japanese assistance to Chang Hai-peng.

THE RESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: If your Honor please, on behalf of the accused ITAGAKI, this document is also objected to upon the grounds stated in respect to the previous document.

JUDGE HSIANG: The foundation for introducing this document at this stage was similarly laid as the previous document.

THE PRESIDENT: The same decision. Admitted on the usual terms. The objection is overruled.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 1767B, now exhibit 2407 for identification only, will be marked as received in evidence and will retain the same exhibit number.

(Whereupon, prosecution exhibit
No. 2407, spreviously marked for identification, was received in evidence.)

JUDGE HSIANG: I will now read exhibit

"From Consul General HAYASHI in Mukden to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA.

"Dispatched, Mukden, p. m., 17 Oct. 1931.

"Received, a. m. 18 Oct. 1931.

"Telegram No. 1013 (Code, very secret.)

"According to Hsieh Chieh-Shik (he had been

in Taonan since the end of September at the request of

8

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

24

25

Chang Hai-peng) who came back to Mukden on the 16th from Taonan, he says that Chang Hai-peng telephoned his family in Taonan from a station on Taonan-Anganchi Railway on the morning of the 15th, telling them that his entry into Tsitsihar would be a little delayed because a bridge was destroyed on the way. At any rate Hsieh said to me, 'there was a condition that Chang Hai-peng was to be supplied with 10,000 rifles, 200,000 yuan in silver, field guns and planes by a certain quarter for entering Heilungkiang Province, declare the independence, and then proceed with the restoration of the Monarchy. In reality, however, he received only 3,000 rifles and 200,000 yuan in silver. Chang's troop strength is some 3,000 at present and although he is planning to increase it to more than 5,000, training is insufficient.

occupying Tsitsihar peacefully, I cannot help but doubt that he could maintain his position since there are not a few soldiers in various parts in the province who possess troop strength. It must be said that it would be still more difficult to support Emperor Hsuantung for the throne. Therefore, the success or failure of Chang Hai-peng depends wholly upon the amount of support given by a certain quarter. Chang wants me to endeavor for him since he has no able person around him, but I cannot be very enthusiastic about it. Etc.'

"Cable relayed to China, Peiping, Tsitsihar, Harbin, Changchun, Tientsin, Kirin and Chengkiatun."

No. 5 on the order list will not be offered.

I will now go to No. 6 on the order list, and offer
IPS document No. 1767-A-18. This is a telegram from
Consul General HAYASHI to Foreign Minister INUKAI.

Defense witness KATAKURA denied, on page 19,009 to
19,010 of the transcript, that the Japanese Army
intervened or participated in the local administration.

This document will prove that the Japanese Army decided
to extend the enforcement of self-government system
in the area under the control of Yu Chih-shan and that
the chairman of the self-governing Guidance Committees
of the districts in that area were being appointed by

•

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

the army.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the document shown to the witness?

JUDGE HSIANG: Your Honor, the document was not shown to the witness, but it goes to the credibility of the witness.

JUDGE HSIANG: When he denied the contents of the accument, we thought to introduce this document at a later stage to contradict him.

THE PRESIDENT: Are you producing it now to contradict the witness, or to confirm him?

JUDGE HSIANG: To contradict the witness, impeach him.

THE PRESIDENT: Why dicn't you show it to him?

JULGE HSIANG: The counsel who took care of the cross-examination adid not consider it necessary then.

THE PRESIDENT: We have been rejecting documents under those circumstances.

MR. MATTICE: For the purpose of the record, the accused ITAGAKI objects to the introduction of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: By a najority, the objection

is sustained and the locument rejected.

JUDGE HSIANG: Next, the prosecution will introduce IPS document No. 1767-A-19, being a telegram from Acting Consul General MORISHIMA at Mukden to Foreign Minister YOSHIZAWA. This is to rebut the testimony of defense witness KATAKURA that the Kwantung Army did not intervene or participate in the affairs of the local administration, page 19,009, and also the testimony of ITAGAKI that the formation of the new state was the desire of the people, page 30,280. This document will, however, show that all information about the formation of the new state emanated from the army and that ITAGAKI took an active part in the matter.

MR. MATTICE: This document is objected to on behalf of the accused ITAGAKI for the reasons and upon the grounds previously stated, that this document was not put to the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: The judges will confer.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please-
THE PRESIDENT: We will resume our seats
at the conclusion of the conference. I am hearing
nothing.

(Whereupon, at 1015, a recess was taken until 1110, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

Kapleau & Knapp

2

12

13

14

17

19

20

22

23

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has decided to receive any evidence tendered by the prosecution which in the judgment of the Tribunal has probative value and is of importance, but the defense may apply to tender evidence in answer to the prosecution's further evidence and each application will be considered on its merits.

Perhaps the prosecution will revise their proofs of documents with a view to seeing whether they are really important and will discard those which they think are not important.

Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: In the interest of saving time and making objections, do your Honors by your ruling mean that the foundation ordinarily required for impeachment evidence will not be required -- will not be considered as an objection?

THE PRESIDENT: There will be enly two tests of any evidence offered: Has it probative value? Is it important?

MR. BROOKS: Then we may take it that it is not a question of whether it is rebuttal or impeachment; that it is a reopening of the case in chief entirely?

THE PRESIDENT: My statement does not admit of

any further clarification; it is as clear as day. Two simple tests: Has the evidence offered probative value? Is it important?

It is a majority decision.

MR. BROOKS: For the record I wish to enter an objection on behalf of Generals KOISO and MINAMI to the test and rule laid down in that in their cases we have been prevented from offering evidence of a general nature because we have been said to have been foreclosed since we were on individual phases, and this is allowing the prosecution, in my submission, an unfair advantage in putting in general evidence when the defense have been prevented from doing it not too far in the distance to have it pointed out on the record.

THE PRESIDENT: The defense, as I said, may apply to adduce evidence in answer to the further evidence given by the prosecution. The decision cannot be unfair to the defense, but it may prolong the trial. That largely rests with the presecution.

MR. BROOKS: I would wish to make an application, not only to meet this evidence, if your Honors please, but also to make an application to put in evidence of equal value on the same basis, of a general nature, which was offered and rejected before because it was of a general nature alone and not because it did

not have probative value and was not important.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, the Tribunal's ruling has permitted the prosecution to the full extent of the word and the meaning to reopen its case.

THE PRESIDENT: We do not want any discussion on what it means.

MR. WARREN: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Each man is entitled to his own opinion as to what it means, but not to express that opinion here. Nothing is gained by allowing you to express those views. The decision stands.

Now, Colonel Warren, we will hear no further discussion on the decision. There is nothing to be clarified so far as I can judge.

MR. WARREN: Not at all, sir. I have not asked for a clarification. I want to talk for the record. We are going to write, one of these times, a brief of appeal for a review of this case, and I have a right to also state our position. That is what I want to do. I want to make a request of this Tribunal to put us also in the same position as you have put the prosecution, which it is our opinion has not been done. Until you hear me on what I have to say, you cannot prejudge me on that

matter.

2

.

20

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

THE PRECIDENT: "e won't allow you to discuss our decision, and it is unnecessary for you to say anything further for the purposes of the record.

MR. WARKEN: Am I to understand, sir, that I cannot ask this Tribunal to require the prosecution to reopen their case and proceed in that manner, and permit us to proceed on the same premise and to restore to us the rights which we feel we have been deprived of? If I cannot ask it I won't, and in lieu thereof I ask for a blanket objection, because there is no such thing as rebuttal and we had a right to assume that there would be rebuttal, because the Court indicated that. Now there is no such thing as rebuttal.

THE PRESIDENT: Rebuttal would not be the right term to apply to the further evidence, I agree. If there is any comfort to you in my statement of the fact, you may have it.

MR. WARREN: There is. Thank you, sir.

have not been heard on this matter? We had no intimation that the Court might bring in a rule of this nature. Now, I have only one observation of a very serious difficulty which this ruling puts me under that does not apply to the others, which I think the Court should have considered before it made such a blanket ruling.

THE PRESIDENT: If the prosecution bring out any further evidence against the accused OSHIMA, we will hear you fully, Mr. Cunningham.

Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I didn't know that such an important matter was under consideration by the Tribunal when it went out, and I had no opportunity to express any opinion or advance any argument either in support or in opposition to any such ruling.

I would like to point out that if probative value and the importance of the evidence . e to be the test, the Tribunal is departing from t , specific powers which it has under the Charter.

THE PRESIDENT: The decision stands, Mr. Logan.

Do I rightly understand that you are attempting to

discuss the merits of our decision?

MR. LOGAN: That is right.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we cannot permit you to do so.

MR. LOGAN: I must abide by your decision, but I must say this is the first court I have practised in where a decision was handed down and counsel was not permitted to state his views on it.

THE PRESIDENT: The Charter says we are to

do things that are not done in other courts if we see fit. Rules of procedure are set aside if we see fit. So, too, rules of evidence.

MR. LOGAN: That being so, if the Tribunal please, may I then ask the Tribunal to rule that I be permitted to state objections which I have to the decision which the Tribunal has just announced, which I didn't have be ore it was announced?

THE PRESIDENT: It is sufficient that we know you object and that a record of that fact is made. The details of your objection will not help us.

MR. LOGAN: I consider it my duty as an officer of the court to give the Tribunal whatever assistance I may be able to give in a small way.

THE PRESIDENT: That is appreciated, Mr. Logan, but do not attempt to continue this discussion. We must keep order in this court. Our decisions arrived at must be respected.

MR. LOGAN: I am trying in an orderly way, if the Tribunal please, to present something, and I state that I have been deprived of the opportunity to present it because the decision was made before any of the def-nse attorneys were heard on it.

THE PRESIDENT: That has been said several times. That will appear in the record. There is no

.

r

e 10 n b 11 e 12

1

3

6

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

d e n

22

24

need to repeat it again.

MR. LOGAN: Well, you refuse to hear me further then?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. LOGAN: May I have an exception to that? THE PRESIDENT: You may.

MR. WARREN: I don't desire to discuss that.

There is another question though, another proposition,

I do want to bring up at this time, your Honor.

The defense counsel through a committee agreed with the Tribunal with reference to summations. Under this ruling, so far as I am concerned with reference to the accused DOHIHARA and HIRANUMA, I ask that we be relieved from that agreement because this completely changes the complexion of the thing, and I think I should call it to the Tribunal's attention at this time and ask that we be relieved from it.

THE PRESIDENT: We do not make bargains with the parties. We hold you to no agreement; we have none with you.

MR. WARREN: Thank you, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: Getting back now, if the Tribunal please, to document 1767-A-19, I take it then we are limited in the matter of objection to this as well as

E

the fact that this document, because on its face it appears to be pure hearsay, has no probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection sustained and the document rejected.

JUDGE HSIANG: The prosecution proposes to
offer in evidence IPS document No. 1767-A-20. This is
telegram from Acting Consul General MORISHIMA at
Mukden to Foreign Minister YOSHIZAWA. This document
shows that contrary to defense witness KATAKURA's
tatement on page 19,009 and defendant ITAGAKI's
testimony on page 30,280--

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

JUDGE HSIANG (Continuing): This testimony shows that the formation of the new state was the result of the fixed policy of the Japanese military and that no matter what policy was decided upon by the Japanese Government, there would be no way to stem the trend. In our submission this document has probative value and is important.

MR. MATTICE: On behalf of the accused ITAGAKI
the introduction of this document is objected to--

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is sustained and the document rejected.

JUDGE HSIANG: The prosecution next offers in

.

1

21

13

14

15

18

19

20

22

20

evidence TPS document No. 1767-A-22, being a telegram from Consul General HAYASHI in Mukden to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA reporting on the monopolization of opium and the issuance of lottery tickets by the Municipal Administrative Office at Mukden of which DOHIHARA was then the head. The introduction of this document is tendered to rebut ITAGAKI's testimony on pages 30,362 to 30,363 of the transcript which denied the facts as contained in the present document.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, we wish to object--

THE PRESIDENT: Red light.

read to the defendant ITAGAKI during cross-examination. The foundation was properly laid. On page 30,362 the question was put to ITAGAKI, saying: "Q Now, do you know, Mr. ITAGAKI, that in order to raise funds the Municipal Administration under DOHIHARA had planned the monopoly of opium and the issuance of lottery tickets? A. No, I do not know." Further on, on page 30,363 a question was put to ITAGAKI to refresh his mind and a part of this telegram was read to him. This telegram concerns a very serious and important matter and is a document from a reliable source, coming

from the Government. We urge for its admission.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, we are not concerned with the impeachment question of the witness ITAGAKI. Undoubtedly, this is directed to DOHIHARA because the prosecution said so. My first point is that it couldn't apply because on the afternoon of October 13, 1931 DOHIHARA was the mayor of Mulden and not the head of the Special Service organization. It doesn't tend to prove or disprove anything in this case for that reason. Number two, it is unquestionably hearsay.

JUDGE HSIANG: Your Honor, I answer on two points. First--

THE PRESIDENT: We do not want to hear you.

By a majority the objections are overruled and the

document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 1767-A-22 will receive exhibit No. 3740.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3740 and received in evidence.)

JUDGE HSIANG: I will now read exhibit 3740:
"From Consul General HAYASHI in Mukden to
Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA.

"Mukden dispatch -- afternoon of 13 October
1931.

"Received, afternoon, October 13th, 1931.
"Telegram No. 955 (Code, Top Secret)

"According to reliable sources we are notified that the municipal Administrative Office has planned the monopolization of the opium and the issue of the lottery tickets for the purpose of raising their funds, and has asked the Headquarters of the Army for their approval. We are also informed that though the Headquarters of the Army had no objections to the plans, they instructed the office to state the stipulations clearly and get the approval of the Headquarters before the plans are put in operation, and also to report the stipulations to the Consulate and the Police Station. Not only are the monopolization of opium and the issuing of the lottery tickets naturally unfavorable from the viewpoint of international relations and so forth, in general, but they are the materialization of a part of the plans of the Army, marked SECRET No. 781 of October 2nd. Therefore, regarding this matter, we ask you to call upon the top

2

5

6

8

10 11

12 13

15

16

18

20

22

army to immediately check this movement, and in consideration of the relicate situation kindly take special care to preserve absolute secrecy from the army as to the receipt of this telegram and the contents of the above-mentioned official letter."

Judge Nyi will continue to present documents for the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Nyi.

next offer IPS document No. 642-B, being a map showing the extent of control assumed by the Mukden Special Service Organ of which DOHIHARA was the chief. The introduction of this document will rebut the defense witness AIZAWA's testimony on page 28,625-26 of the transcript where the Special Service Organ at Mukden had no connection whatsoever with Special Service Agencies elsewhere except the branch at Dairen. However, the remarks in the map show clearly that the Mukden Special Service Organ took charge of intelligence under Special Service Agencies in Chahar, North China and lower Yangtsu.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

JUDGE NYI: We offer the map for identification and will then offer the remarks into evidence, the remarks in this map as an excerpt. What we want

4 5

6

9

10

12

13

15 16

17

19

20

22

23 24

to put in evidence is the remarks on the map which are translated into English.

map. There is no date to indicate as to when this document is supposed to apply, if it does apply, and the whole thing is unintelligible to us. We couldn't make any intelligent objection if we wanted to. We ask that the rule of the Tribunal be complied with by the prosecution until such time as we can make an intelligent answer to this. Incidentally, the prosecution seems to be liberally supplied with the maps, but I know of no defense counsel who has one.

JUDGE NYI: As I have already stated, the prosecution is offering the remarks on the map which are of assistance to the Tribunal in reaching a conclusion of fact. Not the map itself, the details of the map; for instance, the localities where the secret service agencies were located and the dates when the map was made are all set in the remarks.

call attention to the Tribunal of this in the remark, paragraph 1: "The mukden Special Service Agency shall, besides the map, take charge of the intelligence concerning the zones under the charge of the various special service agencies in Chahar." We couldn't

All the

tell what it means. Therefore, we object to it unless the whole document is offered and the rule of the Tribunal is complied with.

JUDGE NYI: That is the very reason why we are not offering the map itself, because the map does not cover the whole area of DOHIHARA's activities.

But the remarks in the map do so.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, the remarks in the certificate of authenticity do not even show where this map came from.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, this map was compiled by the by the Army General Staff, was found in the files of the First Demobilization Bureau. It was clearly stated in the certificate.

MR. WARREN: It also says, "Location of document in the archives and files" blank. The date is blank, too, incidentally. We will not argue further, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

24

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

r & h

13

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDINT: Judge Nyi.

JUDG: NYI: May it please the Tribunal, with regard to the date when the man was made and the organ-6 ization which kept this map, I can -- I think we can take for granted that they were all set out in the remarks because the certificate is a printed form and was given to the officer for signature. As he saw that they were all se out in the remarks he might not find it necessary to put them in. As a map is different from a telegram or letter which would require exact dating, we will take it that the date was July 30, 1933, and the organization which kept it was the Chief of General Staff.

If your Honors are satisfied with my explanation, I shall still tender it. If your Honors think that as far as this particular point is cop aned it needs further clarification we shall withdraw it for the time being and we will have it filled -- items filled and tender it at a later time.

THE PRESIDENT: You may withdraw it if you wish but I don't know that if you retender it again the result will be any different. Do you withdraw the document?

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, if we re-enter it we will have the date and the organization which kept this document filled.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think it would make any difference.

By a majority the objections are sustained and the document rejected.

ment No. 2570-B, previously marked for identification as exhibit 3457 on page 33,202 of the transcript. It contains a series of decisions by the Five Ministers' Conference between July the 8th and September the 9th, 1938. They cover the important policies of the Japanese Government with regard to diplomatic, military and political matters which are pertinent to the issues in this case.

this document, reference page 30,415 of the transcript and the following. When questioned on the basis of this document he answered in a general way that he could not remember, but when asked about the specific matter contained in these decisions he either answered that it was impossible or that it wasn't so, reference pages 30,421 to 30,430 of the transcript.

Again when MUTO was cross-examined he denied

with reference to Five Ministers -- with the Conference of Five Ministers even when confronted with this document, reference pages 33,197 to 8 of the transcript, but No. 16 of this document will show that the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, which post MUTO held, was a member of the Liaison Committee which was designated a secret committee belonging to the Conference of Five Ministers.

I may add that IPS document 1685-C, which Mr. Comyns Carr intended to introduce yesterday embodies six cabinet decisions which correspond in substance to Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 12 and 13 in this document. He withdrew IPS document 1665-C principally because the whole of the contents of his document was included in the document which I am now tendering.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logen.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, in the first place the prosectuion has stated that this document contains policies of the Japanese Government. It appears on its face that it is matters decided at the Five Ministers' Conference. There is nothing in the document which indicates it was ever submitted to the cabinet and approved by the cabinet.

Prosecutor also stated that the first six

of the decisions were embodied in a document which was withdrawn yesterday, 257 -- excuse me, 1685-C. While there was a preference expressed yesterday for the withdrawal of the document, actually the objections to it were sustained on page 37,305 of the record. In view of the Tribunal's statement --

THE PRESIDENT: It wasn't withdrawn.

MR. LOGAN: The prosecution expressed a preference to withdraw it as I stated, and the President said, "The objections to the document are sustained and the document is rejected," on page 37,305 of the record.

Now, if the Tribunal please, under the ruling yesterday that they were operating under rebuttal evidence, I assumed that any document offered would be in evidence only against the person to which it was offered. Now if we are on a reopening of the prosecution's case am I to understand that every document offered is offered against all the accused?

THE PRESIDENT: I don't profess to speak for the prosecution but until they tell me the contrary I would assume it is offered against them all on the general conspiracy charge.

MR. LOGAN: That being so, if the Tribunal please, then I object to this document on the ground that it was not presented to the accused KIDO when he

12 13

7

8

10

14

16

18

19

21 22

23

took the stand. He had no opportunity to either affirm or deny any of the matters set forth therein and it would be decidedly unfair for the prosecution to offer a document at this time which wasn't shown to him but which was shown to other accused and then for the Tribunal to rule that this document, if it is accepted in evidence, should be held against KIDO. And this same proposition would apply to other defendants in similar cases.

And, furthermore, I also object to the reception of this document at this time on the ground that the prosecution hasn't applied to reopen its case and the rules under which we are now operating are much broader than those for which the prosecution asked when they asked to introduce rebuttal evidence.

I also wish to specifically object to this document on behalf of the accused KIDO as it is not important in so far as any accusations against him are concerned and it has no probative value.

And furthermore, that on the reopening of the case exceptionally good grounds must be shown and there has been no good grounds showing why the case should be reopened as against KIDO.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: On behalf of the accused ITAGAKI an additional ground of objection to this document, that it has no probative value for the reason that it appears from the evidence already adduced in this case that at these Five Ministers Conferences no minutes were taken. That fact was developed by interrogation of the accused ITAGAKI by the President of this Tribunal. And such being the case, and it appearing on the face of this document that it must have been compiled by someone who got his information, or dreamed up his information, in some other way than from observation of what occurred at the conference. On its face and from the various several dates appearing in the document it is quite apparent that the person who compiled it did so a long time after the dates of the several meetings of the Five Ministers Conference.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cole.

MR. COLE: If the Tribunal please, I object to the introduction of this document on behalf of the accused MUTO, subscribing in full to the arguments which have already been fully given. One point in addition, and that is that this document was not presented either to General MUTO at the time of his very

3

1

2

6

9

10

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

full cross-examination.

(Whereupon, Judge Nyi approached the lectern.)

THE PRESIDENT: We don't want to hear you, Judge Nyi.

By a majority, the objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 2570-B, now exhibit 3457 for identification only, will be marked as received in evidence, and will retain the same exhibit number.

(Whereupon, exhibit No. 3457, previously marked for identification, was received in evidence.)

JUDGE NYI: I shall not read the first page
which is a Table of Contents; I shall begin with page 2.

THE PRESIDENT: Must you read it all do you
think?

JUDGE NYI: We could choose to emit some parts which we think not necessary when the time comes. In that case I shall emit No. 1, I shall begin with No. 2.

"No. 2 - The Measures to be taken in the Case of Surrender of the Present Central Government of China. (Decided 8 July 1938)

"1. The Policy

13

14

10

12

1

3

5

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

"In case of surrender of the Central Government of China, Japan will regard it as one of the regimes and treat it according to the 'Must be made to
join the newly established Central Government of China'
policy decided upon by the Imperial Conference.

"2. The Outline

"I. Japan shall firmly adhere to the decided policy for the solution of the Incident and shall not try to adjust the general relations between Japan and China in negotiation with the present Central Government of China.

of China surrenders and accepts the third condition, stated later, it shall either be considered as a friendly regime and will be permitted to join the newly established Central Government, or be made to establish another new central government in cooperation with various existing pro-Japanese regimes.

"The merger with the newly established Central Government, or the establishment of a new central government shall be undertaken chiefly by the Chinese, the internal assistance to be given by Japan.

"3. Conditions for the recognition of the Surrender of the Present Central Government of China.

"I. The merger with or taking part in the

establishment of the new Central Government of China.

"II. The change of title as well as the reorganization of the former National Government following the above mentioned measure.

"III. The abolition of anti-Japanese, pro-Communistic policy and the adoption of pro-Japanese-Manchukuo and anti-Communistic policy.

"IV. Retiring from public life of Chiang Kai-shek.

"4. Suspension of Hostilities.

"The suspension of hostilities shall not be taken up unless we have satisfied ourselves with the facts of surrender of the present Central Government of China.

"However, in the case of negotiating the cessation of hostilities, the conditions shall be considered separately.

"No. 3 - The Measures to be taken in the Case where the Present Central Government of China does not Surrender. (Decided 8 July 1938)

"1. The Policy.

"Japan shall control her national power more rigidly than ever before, and her whole strength in home administration, foreign relations, financial tectics and propaganda shall be concentrated upon

10

1

11

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

bringing about the destruction or surrender of the present Central Government of China. At the same time, the various administrative measures necessary for a long term warfare shall be intensified in order to actually realize the wartime condition spiritually and materially.

"2: The Outline.

"I. Measures to be taken before occupying strategic points.

"(1) We must direct positive wer operations without break, with the aim to occupy strategic points for controlling the general situation of China so as to cause the self-destruction of the present Central Government of China and their abandonment of fighting spirit, because of their repeated defeats and especially the loss of their midland.

war operations, the pro-Japanese and anti-Comintern influences should be fostered through strengthening tactics upon administration, finance, foreign relations and thoughts. It should be aimed to break up the interior of the anti-Japanese influence and to bring about an atmosphere desirous for peace as well as the ruin of its financial and economic basis, so that the present Central Government of China might split

3 4 5

8 9

and collapse, or at lease be reduced to a mere local regime at the earliest possible time.

"The above-mentioned policies shall be carried cut not only by Japan herself but also by guidance of the various pro-Japanese regimes of China and others in the background.

"(3) The various pro-Japanese regimes shall be enlarged and strengthened. They shall be also incorperated into one regime as early as possible. We should make it really worthy of the name of a Central Chinese Government so that it may be inevitably recognized at home and abroad as the new government de facto which which will replace the present Central Government of China.

"The recognition of the new Central Government of China by Japan depends solely upon the situation, but it must be done as soon as possible when the new Central Government has been completed in substance.

"(4) While we will highly respect the rights and interests of the third powers, and avoid troubles with them, we should adopt powerful and straightforward measures to settle the Incident so that they may actually understand our policies toward China.

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Then they will be compelled to support our attitude in order to protect and increase their vested rights and interests, and consequently the present Central Government of China will be isolated.

"II The measures to be taken after occupying the strategic points.

"In case the present Central Government of China should not surrender even after we occupy the strategic points, we should not be impatient so as to resort to force to settle the Incident, but make efforts to enlarge and strengthen the new Central Government, and at the same time should attempt the downfall of the present Central Government of China chiefly by tactics, increasing pressure upon it by political, economic and ideological measures.

"III The way for the practice of the above shall be planned and decided upon separately.

"No. 4 - STRATEGY TOWARD CHINA IN CONFORMITY WITH THE CURRENT SITUATION (Decided 8 July 1938).

"1. The Policy.

"In order to break down the present Central Chinese Government and bring about Chiang Kai-shek's fall from power, simultaneously with the destruction of the enemy's fighting power, the plans now being nt into effect shall be strengthened, and the essentials

10

7

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

for this purpose are as follows:

"Method of Execution:

"I By engaging persons of the first rank in China, we pave the way for the establishment of a new strong administrative power concurrently with the weakening of the fighting spirit of the Chinese people.

"II By expediting the conciliation and submission of the irregular forces, we plan to disrupt and weaken the fighting power of the enemy.

"III By utilizing and controlling the authoritative anti-Chiang Kai-shek elements, we shall establish in our enemy's midst an anti-Chiang Kai-shek, anti-Comintern and anti-war government.

"IV By promoting the Mohammedans movement we shall create an anti-Comintern zone operated by Mohammedans themselves in the northwestern region.

"(amended on 22 July)

etc., we shall cause the present Central Chinese Government to destroy themselves financially.

"VI We shall spread the necessary undercover propaganda to make easier the execution of all the above measures.

"Note: Investigations in respect of Item V

1 2

3

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

above are still in progress.

"No. 5 - THE GUIDING POLICY FOR THE ESTAB-LISHMENT OF THE NEW CENTRAL GOVERNMENT OF CHINA (Decided 15 July 1938).

"1. The Policy.

not be confined to the mere party concerned, on the part of China, in settling the Incident, but shall be made the government of China, so that Japan and China will sweep away all the frictions in their past relationships and establish the foundation of a friendly relationship between them from a broadminded viewpoint.

"II Though the establishment of the new Central Government of China shall be undertaken mainly by the Chinese, it shall be internally assisted by Japan. The principle of the collaboration of individual local governments shall be adopted to their government form.

"2. The Plan for the Establishment.

as soon as possible through the cooperation between the Provisional Government and the Restoration Government, to be joined later by the Mongolian and Hsiang-Kiang Joint Commission. Then we should guide this regime so as to grow into a real central government

by gradually absorbing various other influences or collaborating with them.

"II The new Central Government shall not be established until after the fall of Hankow, with the Calang Kai-shek regime reduced to a mere local government or until the reformation of the present Central Government is brought about by Chiang Kai-shek's retirement from public office.

"III In case the split or reformation in the Chian; Kni-shek regime does not take place even after the fall of Hankow, we should establish a new central government by putting existing governments together.

"IV In case the Chiang Kai-shek regime is broken up or reformed, and should a pro-Japanese government turn up, we would make it a factor of the central government system and proceed to set up a central government.

"V It is expected that the date for our recognition of the new Central Government would be when the reformed (split) government as in Par. IV could take charge of the suspension of hostilities, or when the united government as in Par. III has grown to be a central government of real efficiency.

"VI Adjustment of the relations between

3 4

6

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

21 22

23

24

Japan and China in connection with our control of the establishment of the new Central Government of China, shall be done on the following basis, and its concrete matters shall be decided separately.

"(1) Basic matters to be adjusted and agreed upon for setting up the new relations between Japan and China shall be generally as follows:

"(A) Utilization and development of natural resources in North China.

"(B) The recognition of the highly-cooperative zones by Japan and China in North China and the lower Yangtze River. The establishment of a special position against the Soviet Union in Mongolian-Hsing-Kiang area. The establishment of a special position on the various islands along the coast of South China.

"(C) The establishment of the general policy for the collaboration among Japan, Manchukuo and China based upon reciprocity, particularly on neighborly friendship and goodwill, anti-Comintern and joint-defense and economic cooperation. In order to attain the above objectives, Japan will give internal guidance during a certain period.

"(2) The basis for the internal guidance is given on attached sheet No. 1, captioned as 'The General Plan for the Internal Galance of the Government of

5

7

9

10

13

12

14

16

18

20.

22

China. "

. THE PRESIDENT: Judge Nyi, much of this need not be read. Wouldn't you pick out the more important parts?

JUDGE NYI: In compliance to your Honor's instruction, we will omit reading the rest of this number, being No. 5, and we will also omit No. 6, beginning on page 10. We will read No. 7 on page 11.

"No. 7 - DIPLOMATIC POLICY TOWARD BRITAIN FOR THE TIME BEING (Decided July 8, 1938).

"1. In order to cause Britain to give up her policy of aiding Chiang, it is necessary to make her understand through facts the just attitude of the Empire.

present, we will investigate them concretely and settle same rapidly one after another according to the abovementioned plan.

"No. 8 - ATTITUDE TOWARD PROPOSALS OF THE BRITISH AND THE GERMAN AMBASSADOR FOR PEACE MEDIATION (Decided July 12, 1938).

"British Ambassador:

"To first decline in a roundabout way, but not sever the connection. 'Because sincerity is not recognized, we will contemplate for a while.'

8

1

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

"German Ambassador:

"To first hear what he says."

We will skip No. 9 and come to No. 10 on page 12:

"No. 10 - PROCEDURE TAKEN IN CONNECTION WITH THE SETTLEMENT OF THE CHANG KU-FENG INCIDENT (Decided July 22, 1938).

"Have made preparations for emergencies.

"The use of the prepared military power is to be carried out by the Imperial Order after negotiation among the authorities concerned."

We will skip No. 11 and come to No. 12:
"No. 12 - SPECIAL COMMISSION ON CHINESE
AFFAIRS (Decided July 26, 1938).

- "(1) The Special Commission for China belongs to the Five Ministers' Council and is an executive organ exclusively for working out important strategems against China and establishing the new Chinese Central Government in accordance with the decision of the council.
- "(2) Every organ at the actual places concerned with the above-mentioned business is directed by the Special Commission for China in connection with the said business.
- "(3) The Army and Navy Ministers will be in charge of the liaison between the Special Commission

for China and the Imperial Headquarters.

"No. 13 - COMMENTARY ON THE SPECIAL COMMISSION ON CHINESE AFFAIRS (Decided July 29, 1938).

"(1) Composition of the Special Commission for China in Par. 1. The Commission is understood as an organ under the leadership of DOIHARA, TSUDA and BANZAI.

"(2) The important strategems against China in Par. 1 are understood as political and economic strategies which are not directly connected with military operations.

"(3) Interpretation of Par. 2.

"It is understood that the Supreme Command organ is not included in the local organs. However, if there is the necessity of adjusting a certain strategem of the Supreme Command organ with that of the Commission, both will be adjusted through their channels of subordination as mentioned in Par. 3."

We shall omit No. 14 and come to No. 15 on the same page.

"NO. 15 - OUTLINE FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT COMMITTEE (Decided September 9, 1938).

"I. The Policy.

"CONTENTS.

"II. Outline for the Establishment of the Joint Committee.

"III. The Organization and the Powers of the Joint Committee.

"IV. Outline for Guidance of the Joint Committee.

"I. The Policy.

"To establish a Joint Committee in accordance with the Policy to Guide the Establishment of the New Central Government of China agreed upon at the Conference of the Five Ministers, 15 July 1938 /Showa 13.

Joint Committee.

"1. The establishment of the Joint Committee is to be carried out mainly by China, but is to be unofficially assisted by the Japanese Government.

Assistance shall be offered to China after due approval by the Central Government of a locally framed plan for the guidance in the establishment of the Joint Committee.

9

1

3

10

11

13

14

16

18

19

21

22

24

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21 22

When the Japanese Government's con-112. crete plan concerning the guidance of the establishment of the Joint Committee is completed, with the assistance of the administrative guidance organs of North China and of Central China, a Preparatory Committee meeting shall be opened in Dairen which shall make the various preparations for setting up a Federal Committee.

"3. The Joint Committee shall be held in Peiping, based on the preparations made by the Preparatory Committee."

We shall omit the rest of this number and come to No. 16 on page 15.

"NO. 16 - CONCERNING THE SETTING UP OF A COMMITTEE FOR LIAISON WITH THE CONFERENCE ON THE FIVE MINISTERS (Decided October 7, 1938/ Showa 13.

"1. Object of setting it up:

"The committee for liaison with the Conference of the Five Ministers (temporary appointment) is established in order to accelerate the performance of matters agreed upon by the Conference of the Five Ministers in connection with disposal of the situation in China, and, in case of necessity, in order to study and investigate measures to be discussed by the Conference of the Five Ministers.

23 24

"II. Organization:

"This committee is a secret committee belonging to the Conference of the Five Ministers and 'is organized as follows:

"Members: Chief of East Asia Bureau,
Foreign Office; the Chief of the First East Asia
Section; Chief of Finance Bureau, Finance Ministry;
Chief of Foreign Affairs Section; Chief of Military
Affairs Bureau, War Ministry; Chief of Military
Affairs Section; Chief of Naval Affairs Bureau,
Navy Ministry; Chief of First Naval Section.

"Secretaries: The Chief of the First East
Asia Section, Foreign Office; Chief of Foreign
Affairs Section, Finance Ministry; Chief of Military
Affairs Section, War Ministry; the Chief of the First
Naval Affairs Section, Navy Ministry."

We shall omit the rest.

. 11

If your Honor please, we shall next offer in evidence IPS document No. 2570A, being a decision of the Five Ministers' Conference on the policy of conducting propaganda in connection with the China Incident. ITAGAKI denied that the conference discussed the propaganda plan. That appears on page 30,444 of the transcript. This document will bear out the contents of the decision.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I object to this document on behalf of the accused KIDO on the same grounds as the objection made to the previous document. And I might say, in order to save time, that during the presentation of the prosecution's case the defendants were given blanket objections to all documents offered on the grounds of irrelevancy, immateriality, and incompetency. May I have the same right to a blanket objection to all documents heretofore offered, and to be offered by the prosecution in its reopened case, on behalf of the defendant KIDO?

THE PRESIDENT: We take it that counsel for all the accused are objecting to all these documents, unless they indicate otherwise.

That certainly is a good suggestion, if I

3 4 5

7 8

may say so, Mr. Logan, and will save a lot of time.

MR. LOGAN: Well, I assume the other accused, defendants, will join in; I don't know; I haven't discussed it with them. But I would also like to add this additional ground, too: on the ground that the Tribunal under the Charter had no authority to recent this case.

THE PRESIDENT: We take counsel for all the accused to be taking that objection also unless they indicate the contrary.

MR. LOGAN: I also would like to have a reservation that the accused KIDO may, if he sees fit, present evidence upon the conclusion of the reopening of the case by the prosecution to each and every document offered by the prosecution, with the understanding that the determination of the admission of such documents be on the same basis as the prosecution, that is, as to probative value and importance.

THE PRESIDENT: We will consider any application made in due course on behalf of any of the accused to tender further evidence in reply to evidence given by the prosecution since the close of the defense case.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, could I have a suggestion or ruling on this proposition: To

what extent are the defense counsel bound by the ruling of this Tribunal in reopening the case when the defense counsel feel that the Tribunal is acting illegally and beyond the Tribunal's authority?

MR. PRESIDENT: Now, this Tribunal obviously can give no advice or direction based on the assumption that it is acting or has acted illegally or contrary to its Charter.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, your Honor, it isn't quite fair to make us acquiesce in a ruling of this Tribunal which we consider is illegal, when you are asking these defendants in the dock to undergo the same thing which this Tribunal is doing, that they were acting illegally when they were operating under the order of their government; don't you see?

THE PRESIDENT: I shall try to be as patient with you as I can, but my colleagues are all agreed that you are indulging in nothing more than sheer offensive propaganda; and you must desist forthwith.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I asked a civil question:
To what extent are we bound by a ruling of the Tribunal
on an illegal matter? That is the thing I am asking -what we consider is illegal.

THE PRESIDENT: Such a question is obviously pointless unless it is intended to be offensive.

3 4.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, we are all agreed, your Honor, that it isn't intended to be offensive. I can assure you of that. It is made purely and simply out of an honest conviction that we are placed in a position where we either have to make a choice of ignoring the order of the Tribunal or acquiescing under protest.

THE PRESIDENT: Our decisions, like our judgments, are binding on all concerned. There is no need for us to vindicate them, and there is no right in you to challenge them, after they are given, before the Court itself. The discussion is closed.

Judge Nyi.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, may I be permitted to give a word in reply to Mr. Logan's remarks, objection?

THE PRESIDENT: We must hear you, yes.

JUDGE NYI: I wish to say that this document bears out the program of intensified mobilization for a prolonged war, which has been hitherto denied by the defendants. Time and again the defendants have claimed that they favored a policy of localization and peaceful settlement. This decision of the Five Ministers' Conference clearly set out the program that they are going to continue on with a prolonged

3 4

warfare, and the foundation for its introduction in rebuttal has been properly made on page 30,444. ITAGAKI was shown the document, and he categorically denied it.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

Wolf & Lefle

22

JUDGE NYI: The prosecution will next offer
in evidence IPS document No. 2178-A, entitled "The
Bissential Points of Administration in the Hankow District, Decided by the War, Navy and Foreign Ministers
on October 28, 1938." ITAGAKI denied that the three
ministers had decided that new adminstrations should be
set up in the Hankow area after its fall to be placed
under the guidance of the Japanese. This appears on
pages 30,447 to -8 of the transcript. This document will
show that there was such a decision.

11 NR. LOGAN: The same objection to that, if the 12 Tribunal please.

JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please, this document
will rebut the testimony of the defense witness. The
document states that the growth of the so-called peace
preservation efforts -- were due to the efforts -- had
originated from the Chinese themselves. Now, this
document will show clearly that they fostered these
peace preservation societies and they guided them. This
represents --

THE PRESIDENT: Do not go any further.

JUDGE NYI: -- the first step of setting up
the puppet central government, and therefore the prosecution considers it of extreme importance.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is

overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
2178, being a volume printed in Japanese, entitled
"New Chinese Central Government," will receive exhibit
No. 3741 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 2178-A, will receive exhibit
No. 3741-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3741 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3741-A and received in evidence.)

JUDGE NYI: With the permission of the Tribunal, I shall read:

"The Essential Points of Administration in the Hankow District.

"Decided by the War, Navy and Foreign Ministers on October 28, 1938.

"Administration of political affairs in the Hankow District shall be for the time being based on the following:

"No. 1 Principle.

"Political affairs in the Hankow District shall be administered by /Japan/ with the sphere of the operations of the Imperial Army and of maintenance of

9

0

19

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

22

24

2.

8

9

7

10 11

13 14

15

12

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

peace and order, and the carrying out of other affairs, shall be entrusted to the Chinese side as far as possible.

"No. 2 Main Points.

- "1. Political guidance shall be exercised on the following points:
- "(1) No military administration shall be especially established and the carrying out of political affairs shall as far as possible await the self government of the Chinese side.
- "(2) For the time being, main efforts shall be directed to the growth of the Peace Maintenance Association; and with the stabilization of the situation, a local anti-Comintern regime shall be established.

"The jurisdiction of the said regime shall be for the time being the Provinces of Kiangsi, Hunan and Hopeh.

"The said regime shall be established on the Chinese initiative. However, the establishment of the regime shall be accelerated with the co-operation of our political guiding agency (The Liaison Conference of the War, Navy and Foreign Ministry authorities at Hankow), chiefly by our strategy agency (The Special Committee Towards China).

I shall skip the rest of this page and come to

No. 4 on the next page:

"4. Administration of political affairs in the Hankow District shall be deliberated, decided and put into effect by the Hankow Liaison Conference of the War, Navy and Foreign Ministry authorities, composed of the local organs of the three Ministries.

"Close connections and co-operation shall be maintained between the above-mentioned Liaison Conference and strategy agency (The Special Committee Towards China)."

JUDGE NYI: The next document we are going to introduce is IPS document No. 2178-B which bears similar contents. Only the decision was made for the administration of South Chine after the fall of Canton.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I object to this document on the same grounds as previously expressed, and in addition the fact that what these people did, I think, was more important than the information contained in this document of what they intended to do or what they wanted to do and I think it has all been covered already by the evidence of the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: On behalf of the accused ITAGAKI I also object to the introduction of this document for the reason that it is of no probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: I think the last decision covers this. The objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms. As to the part that applies to South China, that is, the Provinces of Kwantung and Kwangsi, you need not read it.

JUDGE NYI: With the permission of the Tribunal I shall only read paragraph 4 at the bottom

3 4

1

6

9

10

12

13

14

16

18

20

22

of page 1 which is not contained in the last document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

2178-B will receive exhibit No. 3741-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3741-B and received in evidence.)

JUDGE NYI: (Reading)

"(4) In view of the fact that the officials and the people, who are of special disposition, are unaware of the mighty power of the Imperial forces and of our national strength, the political and other guidances in South China shall make efforts to bring about the result of their dependence on Japan through the thorough protection of the people, particularly by making our military power felt."

I shall omit tendering No. 17 and go on with 18 on the order list.

Now we shall offer in evidence IPS document 3090-C, being the record of the proceedings of the Imperial Conference held on 30 November 1938. ITAGAKI denied that HIRANUMA made a speech in this Conference. This appears on pages 30,467 to 30,468 of the transcript. This document contains the full text of HIRANUMA's speech on the Japanese policy toward the new puppet government in Central China.

THE PRESIDENT: Where is the certificate? MR. WARREN: There is a certificate; I saw

JUDGE NYI: The certificate is attached to the document.

THE PRESIDENT: It isn't on my copy.

I have a copy of the certificate now.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, apparently the prosecution offered this only for the purpose of impeachment of the accused ITAGAKI. However with reference to the accused HIRANUMA, they could not have read this document very well because it is 13 highly beneficial and I should be derelict in my 14 duty to object to it. Perhaps some other counsel wants to; I have no objections.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: On behalf of the accused 17 18 ITAGAKI I object to the introduction of this document, limited as we are as to grounds, upon the ground that 19 t has no probative value.

I do want to call attention to the fact that contrary to what Judge Nyi stated about it, ITAGAKI id not, at the time he was asked about the speech by HIRANUMA, deny it. What he said when he was asked f that was an exemplification of a copy of the speech

21 22

16

it.

was this; and the question was: "But do you see in the substance a speech made by HIRANUMA and the reply made by the Foreign Minister?" ITAGAKI answered: "There may be, but I am trying to tell you that I do not place any trust in this document."

THE PRESIDENT: We think it of no importance and that it has no probative value. The objection is sustained and the document rejected.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

R e i i c 2 h 3 r 4 8 6 h 7 8 n 9

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Kraft.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the Tribunal please, the following language correction is made: Record page 37,100, lines 7 and 8, delete "This concludes the individual phase of OSHIMA's defense," and substitute "This concludes the presentation of evidence for the reopened OSHIMA defense phase."

Exhibit No. 3739, the word "ronin" in the third line from the bottom of the page may be translated almost in this case as "roving self-styled patriot."

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

Judge Ny1.

shall next offer in evidence IPS document 2178-C, entitled "Policy for the Adjustment of New Relations between Japan and China" decided by the Imperial Conference on 30 Nevember 1938. ITAGAKI denied the contents of the document, transcript pages 30,457 to 30,465. This document will show the decisions reached in the Imperial Conference regarding the relations between Japan and the puppet government in central China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, Mr. Mattice approached the lectern.)

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, if the defense wants to enter their objections I wish something can be read further. I wish respectfully to point out that this document is of extreme importance because it embodies the decisions which were supposed to meet the situation branded as "the new order in East Asia."

To the Japanese at that time it was considered the beginning of a new era. In our submission, this document meets both the requirements of importance and probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. mattice.

at the page cited, 30,457, will disclose that mr.

ITAGAKI did not there make the answer which Judge Nyi stated. He was asked whether this document contained the decision of the conference and the answer was:

"I don't know what kind of a document this is. It is similar to the decision I referred to -- 'decision of November 30 I referred to in my affidavit. However, it is impossible for me to judge whether it is exactly the same." That is no denial.

It is the same meeting -- the Council in the

Presence of the Emperor meeting -- the same date as that mentioned in the document previously presented, which was rejected. It is therefore repetitious.

"e submit that this document has no probative value.

JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please, Mr. Mattice has not read the rest of this question, on page 30,458 because it continued and the defendant, the accused ITAGAKI, consistently refused to admit that it was the same as the original, and he says repeatedly that "I do not remember the whole of it, and I cannot say whether it is exactly the same as the original."

This is nothing but a denial of it.

With regard to the second point, the last document which I intended to offer embodies the speech made by HIRANUMA and it was his opinion on these decisions. But this document embodies the whole of the decisions themselves. In our submission, this is both important and of probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, who was present at this meeting? You can't tell us. You must prove it. There may be evidence somewhere.

JUDGE NYI: There was another document which is marked IPS 3090-B, which was omitted. It is listed as No. 17. In this there is a complete list of the members who were present in this Imperial Conference.

5 6 7

THE PRESIDENT: As it is, we must reject it.

By a majority, the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

JUDGE NYI: We shall next offer in evidence
IPS document 1519-K, being a decision of the Five
Ministers' Conference on 6 June 1939, containing
a policy for the establishment of a New Central
Government in China. ITAGAKI denied that the Five
Ministers' Conference on that day decided on the
policy of establishing a new central China Government
(Transcript page 30,468 to 30,470.) This document will
serve to rebut his denial.

I wish to point out that these decisions were reached at the time when "ang Ching-wei was visiting Japan for the purpose of setting up a new central government in China. It has been the position of the accused that the establishment of that government was by the initiative of "ang Ching-wei himself, but this document will serve to show how Japanese policies were at play in this establishment. Therefore, in our submission, it is extremely important and of probative value.

MP. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, because of some error 1519-K was not served on all the accuseds' counsel and for that reason I have been requested by

2 3 4

counsel for ITAGAKI to make his objections. He has the certificate and I have the document, that is the way we got them.

In reading this document, it appears to have no probative value. It refers to the decisions made by the Five Ministers Conference. We have a great deal of testimony in on that.

Under the ruling laid down by the Tribunal this morning we are not concerned, I take it, with the question of the impeachment of ITAGAKI. If it were used for impeachment, so general are the terms of this document that it would be of little value to the Tribunal, but we will object to it on the general grounds that it has no probative value.

JULGE NYI: If your Honor please, sir, Mr. Warren has been referring to the Five Ministers' Conference. He thinks that there are enough materials on the Five Ministers' Conference, but I wish to point out that the Five Ministers' Conference decisions we already have were decided in the period between July and September 1938. But this document shows the decisions reached on June 6, 1939. These decisions were especially important because they were reached at the time when Wang Ching-wei was in Japan. He had been brought over by KAGESA who had been ordered by the Five Ministers' Conference to take him from Hanoi to Shanghai and Shanghai to Tokyo. And these decisions will represent, so to speak, the orders given by the Japanese Government to Wang Ching-wei and will establish the puppet character of the government.

THE PRESIDENT: Is that new matter to that extent?

JUDGE NYI: The fact that Wang Ching-wei was brought over and saw -- talked with ministers, the Prime Minister HIRANUMA and War Minister ITAGAKI and Prince KONOYE, are in evidence. We need these materials here contained to clarify -- to show what policies have been definitely reached by the Japanese Government with regard to the setting up of this government

22 23 24

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

in China.

MR. WARLEN: If the Tribunal please, I can't agree from the record quoted -- cited by counsel that there is any new matter here. Record 30,469, the prosecution, in attempting to refresh the witness' memory, that is, ITAGAKI's memory, concerning this so-called meeting told him that in order to refresh his recollection that it was at the time that Wang Ching-wei was in Tokyo. Now, the answer that he gave is to the effect that he didn't remember any decisions reached in the early part of June in that year, and he states that his recollection is that Wang did arrive in the first part of June, and he supposes that if that was the case the conference would be held prior to his arrival. "But I have no recollection," he says.

Now, with reference to the statement that this was a decision of the government, statement by the prosecution, of course that is wrong. This was a decision made by the Five Ministers' Conference.

I don't think it would help the Tribunal, I don't know.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Presecution document

1519-K will receive exhibit No. 3742.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit
No. 3742 and received in evidence.)

JUDGE NYI: With the permission of the

Court, I shall read:

"A Policy for the Establishment of a New Central Government.

"Decision made at the Five Ministers' Conference, June 6, 1939.

"1. The constituent elements of a new central government shall be Wang, Wu, the existing regimes, and also the Chungking Government - provided that it change its mind and be reformed - and, taking it up as a question rested on China, shall be established through mutual cooperation of these elements when considered proper.

"2. The new central government shall design to adjust rightly the relations between Japan and China in conformity with the principles for adjusting a new relationship between these two countries, which should be previously accepted by the said elements.

"3. The time of organization and establishment of the new central government shall be decided on an independent standpoint in concert with various phases

6

13 14 15

11

16

18

19

21

24

of the guidance of fullscale war. For this purpose, especially fundamental actual strength as well as manpower shall be completed.

"4. The future political system of China shall be formed in accordance with a policy of separate rule and national unification based on her history and actual situation, but its details shall be conformed to the plan of adjusting a new relationship between Japen and China: from the standpoint of national defense and economy, North China shall be made an intensive combination district of the two countries (Mongolia shall be made an especially intensive anti-communistic area); the lower reaches of the Yangtze River shall also be another economically; a special position shall be given to specified islands along the South China coast; and mcreever, the question of the system shall be in principle entrusted to China as Chinese internal affairs and intervention in it shall be avoided as much as possible, especially the intentions of statesmen shall be respected according to the form of the new central government, and at the same time how to deal with our specific relations with the existing regimes shall be deliberated."

I shall omit 5 and 6 on the rest of this page and come to the next page.

24

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Greenbers & Yelden

"A Plan of Guidance for Wang's Movement.

"1. The Principle of Guidance.

with civil and military merits, in cooperation with Wu and the existing regimes. For this purpose, he shall make necessary preparations, and in the meantime endeavor to win those influences of the Chungking government, particularly eminent persons of that government.

"2. Main Points of Guidance.

"(1) Wang shall make needed preparations so that a powerful government may be established in cooperation with Wu and the existing regimes. And preparatory movements shall cover such items as the establishment of fundamental sphere /of influence/ the movements toward Chungking, the unification of existing influences, raising of funds, full equipment of armed forces, etc; and our outward interference in these movements shall be limited as much as possible.

"(2) The establishment of the new central government shall be done in conformity with the phases of our independent guidance of war. In this connection, our Empire has the following conditions to require of Wang:

"(a) During the period of preparations for

the establishment of new central government, Wang,
"u, and the existing regime shall work together in
order to make their utmost to win those influences
of the Chungking government, particularly eminent
persons of that government, and at the same time to
establish a powerful government with civil and
military merits by establishing their sphere of
influence.

The new central government shall recognize the principles for adjusting the new relations between Japan and China. The time of establishment and its details shall be settled after consultation with Japan according to the development of the above preparatory movements, especially to the completion of fundamental real power as well as personal resources. However /Wang/ shall accept the following: that the future political system of China shall be formed in accordance with a policy of separate rule and national unification; that its details shall be conformed to the plan of adjusting a new relationship between Japan and China; that from the standpoint of national defense and economy, North China shall be made an intensive combination district of the two countries (Mongolia shall be made an especially intensive anti-communistic area), and the lower reaches of the Yangtze River shall

\$

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

be another economically; that a special position shall be given to specified islands along the South China coast; and further, serious considerations shall be given to our special relationship with the existing regimes."

I shall omit the rest of the page and come to "3" on the next page.

"3. The expenses needed.

"Special consideration shall be given in case expenses beyond the fixed expenditure should be required for the realization of this movement.

"4. Positive and internal aid necessary for this movement shall be given from the side of Japan.

"(Note:) Movements shall be made to induce Wu and the existing regimes to cooperate with Wang."

I shall omit the rest of the document.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, we requested the prosecution to read paragraphs 5 and 6 of page 2, which were omitted, and paragraphs (c) and (d) of page 2-a of the annexed document, and they desire that we read it rather than themselves.

THE PRESIDENT: That is irregular. They can read it if they want to.

MR. BROOKS: We request then that it be read

3

5

6

8 9

10

11

13 14

15

17 18

16

19

20

22

23 24

be another economically; that a special position shall be given to specified islands along the South China coast; and further, serious considerations shall be given to our special relationship with the existing regimes."

I shall omit the rest of the page and come to "3" on the next page.

"3. The expenses needed.

"Special consideration shall be given in case expenses beyond the fixed expenditure should be required for the realization of this movement.

"4. Positive and internal aid necessary for this movement shall be given from the side of Japan.

"(Note:) Movements shall be made to induce Wu and the existing regimes to cooperate with Wang."

I shall omit the rest of the document.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, we requested the prosecution to read paragraphs 5 and 6 of page 2, which were omitted, and paragraphs (c) and (d) of page 2-a of the annexed document, and they desire that we read it rather than themselves.

THE PRESIDENT: That is irregular. They can read it if they want to.

MR. BROOKS: We request then that it be read

3

6

8

9

11 12

13

14

16

18

19

21

23

as a whole because it is important.

THE PRESIDENT: It is sufficient to invite us to read it. We will do so under the circumstances. There is no need to read it into the transcript.

MR. BROOKS: May we reserve the right then to read it later, as it is in evidence?

evidence IPS document 2178-G, being a decision of the China Affairs Board. ITAGAKI denied financing the so-called Wu project which was being undertaken by DOHIHARA. This appears on pages 30,439-30,440 of the transcript. This document will show that the China Affairs Board, of which the accused ITAGAKI was one of its vice-presidents, had appropriated huge amounts of money from the surplus of the Chinese Maritime Customs Revenues for the purpose of inducing the retired General Wu Pei-fu to head a pro-Japanese Government in China.

Your Honor, this document is closely related to the last, especially the last which I have read, "The expenses needed," on page 3-a of the last document. This document will prove how the so-called peace movement, or National Salvation League, was financed by the Japanese out of the funds of the Chinese. We therefore consider it a matter of extreme importance.

N

19

12

20

22

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: The accused ITAGAKI objects to the introduction of this document, if the Tribunal please. With reference to the pages cited, 30439-30440, it will appear that the accused ITAGAKI as a witness did not, as stated by Judge Nyi, deny the matters related by him. He was asked this question: "Now, when DOHIHARA was working on Wu Pei-fu, did you also have some arrangement about the finance, about the expenses?" The answer was "No."

Now, the question was whether he, ITAGAKI, personally was working on Wu Pei-fu. There is nothing incorporated in that question which relates to what is offered as document 2178-G.

Following that he was shown IPS document 2178-G and then was asked this question: "Do you recall that as one of the decisions reached by the China Affairs Board, June 23, 1939? A. No, I don't recall."

The next question and answer following that is virtually repetition of it, and then he was asked this question: "Do you recall, aside from these decisions of the China Affairs Board, out of your own memory, that money was needed to launch these projects; and how did you arrange for it? A. I had

nothing to do with that."

I fail to see in the passages referred to by Judge Nyi anything that amounts to a denial which could be the basis or subject of impeachment. The document in my opinion is without probative value.

JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please, from what counsel has just read I cannot conceive it as anything but an explicit denial by ITAGAKI. ITAGAKI was a member of the Five Ministers' Conference and here is the decision of the Five Ministers' Conference: that expenses should be provided. ITAGAKI was one of the vice-presidents of the China Affairs Board and here is the decision of the China Affairs Board: that the Wu project should be financed in such and such a way.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: IPS document 2178-G will receive exhibit No. 3743.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3743 and received in evidence.)

JUDGE NYI: With the permission of the Tribunal I shall read exhibit 3743:

"On the Expenses Required for the 'Wu' Project.

"Decided in the conference of the China Affairs Board; June 23, 1939.

"The expenses required for the 'Wu' Project will be defrayed as follows, in accordance with the expenses required for the anti-Comintern National Salvation League:

"1. The expenses required for the 'Wu'
Project from June onwards will be paid from the
surplus of the Maritime Customs and the total amount
required for the five months from June onwards is
not to exceed ten million yuan (not more than two
million yuan per month). The expenses thereafter
will be further studied.

"2. The surplus of the Maritime Customs will be drawn under the name of some Japanese for the time being.

drawn equally from the surplus of the Maritime Customs of North China and of Central China. It will be granted in the form of a loan to the responsible Japanese personnel on the actual spot of this project. The Japanese Government and the supervisors of the Maritime Customs' deposit on the spot must consent to offer the same sum from the Maritime Customs' deposit as collateral security to the Yokohama Specia Bank.

Adequate measures will be taken to direct the
Chinese Governme t to pay back (or settle) these
debts to the Yokohama Specie Bank at a proper time.

"4. The money to be granted will be paid in Federal Reserve Bank notes in North China and in Chinese currency notes in Central China. The operation of selling Chinese currency and buying yen notes will be conducted by the Yokohama Specie Bank, and a time and method, which will not affect our currency movements, will be chosen."

Your Honor please, we shall next offer in evidence IPS document 1990 (1), being another decision of the China Affairs Board. We offer this to rebut ITAGAKI's denial that the anti-Comintern National Salvation League in China was financed by Japan. That appears on page 30,436 to 30,439.

ment for the same reasons as in respect to the previous document.

THE PRESIDENT: We do not need all these details.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, please, I just wish to point out that there is one thing in this document which does not appear very clearly in the last document. That is, the second paragraph in the middle of the page: the fact that the utilization of this fund shall be kept in secrecy. And that will prove --

THE PY SIDENT: By a majority, the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 1005 (1) will receive exhibit No. 3744.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3744 and received in evidence.)

2 3

THE PRESIDENT; Read only the new part if there be one.

JUDGE NYI: With the permission of the Tribunal, I shall read just this paragraph which I have referred to:

"2. When utilizing the surplus funds of the Maritime Customs revenue, Japanese names shall be used in order to maintain secrecy."

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Nyi.

JUDGE NYI: I shall next offer in evidence
Its document 1685-D which is an outline to guide the
Central Political Conference, dated November 1, 1939
and decided by a conference of the China Affairs
Board. This document was exhibited to the defendant
SUZUKI who said he could not say he had seen the document before and who refused to admit that the contents
of the document involved the decision of the China
Affairs Board of that date as shown on record page
35,290. It will not be necessary that we read the
entire document. The original of this document is
exhibit 270 for identification, admitted as such on
record page 3605.

THE PRESIDENT: No objection? Apparently there is no objection. Sometimes the objections will come very late. Admitted on the usual terms.

ALVER Y

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 1685-B will receive exhibit No. 3745.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3745 and received in evidence.)

get this record straight here.— it is in the courtroom -- to check that statement by counsel. Apparently SUZUKI's counsel is not here; I do not know.
He is here, so no objection.

THE PRESIDENT: You are not reading it all, Judge, are you?

JUDGE NYI: No.

"OUTLINE TO GUIDE THE CENTRAL POLITICAL

CONFERENCE

"1 November 1939
"Decided by Conference of China
Affairs Board.

## "I. PRINCIPLES

"In view of the development of situations at home and abroad, the policy to establish a new Central Government shall have as its main aim the perfection of the inner basic conditions of China, and, as an aim of next importance, the policies toward the Third Powers and Chungking."

-

-

I shall omit reading of the rest of the paragraph and come to Roman numeral II: "II. CARDINAL POINTS "1. Concerning the Central Political Conference, the main component people of the new Central Government shall first be made to understand the matters desired and demanded by our side as specified hereunder. The Conferences shall be so directed that there shall be no conflict between the above and what ill be resolved by the Chinese side." I shall omit 2 and come to 3 on the same 11 page: "3. The emphasis of the Chinese side shall 13 14 to complete the preparations for the replenishment 19f manpower and the acquirement of basic power, with 16 egard to the establishment of a base-area, the 17 olicy against Chungking, the organization of armed 18 orces, and the preparation of funds. The particular 12mphasis shall be the destruction and undermining of 20the various powers of the Chungking side. Efforts 21 shall be directed to the above purposes with WANG as the central figure and the full cooperation of WU and the existing regimes." 24 I shall omit reading number 4 and come to 5 25 on the same page:

"5. The political creed and the policies of the new Central Government shall include the principles for the re-adjustment of the new relationship between Japan and China, the necessary recognition of existing facts, as well as the desires of the Japanese side. It shall include nothing that will contradict the above."

I shall omit reading 6 and 7 on page 2 and come to number 8:

"8. Concerning the adjustment of the new relationship between Japan and China, after the formation of the Central Government and before the establishment of the new Central Government, the leading figures of the said Government shall make a firm pledge to the Japanese side."

I shall omit reading the rest of the document altogether.

THE PRESIDENT: Is the next document a long

JUDGE NYI: Not very long.

The next document I am offering is document
No. 4035, Item 4. On page 30,496, line 26 to page
30,497, line 9, ITAGAKI in his testimony denied
sending instructions to his Ambassador OSHIMA through
the Military Attache to announce the positive atti-

-

in

ST 3

tude of the Japanese Government before Hitler's speech.

I now offer in evidence for identification only IPS document 4035, Item 4, a captured German document which is a telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo to the State Secretary, bearing date 30 April, 1939; and I offer in evidence IPS document No. 4035, Item 4A, an excerpt therefrom in contradiction of the foregoing testimony of ITAGAKI.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: On behalf of the defendant OSHIMA I object to this document on three grounds. This document has no relevancy or materiality with regard to OSHIMA's case. The contents of this document are nothing more than hearsay. This document — the third reason is that this document was not shown to the witness KAWABE, Torashiro or defendant OSHIMA or defendant ITAGAKI. KAWABE, Torashiro was a military Attache. From the number of this document it is clear that this document was in the possession of the prosecution before October last year, 1946.

THE PRESIDENT: We think it unimportant.

You do not want to argue it, do you? The objection is sustained and the document rejected.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 15 January 1948 at 0930.)